

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,361	07/07/2003	Hirotsugu Takeuchi	4041J-000733	4041J-000733 7893	
27572	7590 03/16/2005		EXAMINER		
	DICKEY & PIERCE, F	ALI, MOHAMMAD M			
P.O. BOX 82		*	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303		d:	3744	3744	
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2009	DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	()
10/614,361	TAKEUCHI, HIROTSUGU	
Examiner	Art Unit	,
Mohammad Ali	3744	

navious notion	10/014,301	TARLOGIII, TIIROT	3000				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	•				
•	Mohammad Ali	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>The addition of word "exit) with claims 1, search.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			leration and				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	i (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).	_						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:) ∐_will not be entered, or b) ∐_w ovided below or appended.	/III be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13.		WETaroles	<u>ز</u>				
Me	:	NO(S). NETOPOLGAI ARY EXAMINER					

03.14.05

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)